# Examples of 20 intergrams of data distributions (Appendix to the paper "Characterization of asymmetric distributions by intergrams instead histograms") by Ts. B. Georgiev, 2021, Bulg. Astron. J. 36 

Table 1. Data about the examples and their statistics: 1 - Identification code of the example, 2 - number of the data points, 3 - number of the intergram points, 4,5 - minimal and maximal data values, 6 - median standard deviation, 7, 8 - skewness and kurtosis of the distribution, $9,10,11$ - gradients of the center-, width- and asymmetry- functions.

| ident. | $n$ | $m$ | $\min$ | $\max$ | $S_{M}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $G_{C}$ | $G_{W}$ | $G_{A}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| $\# 00$ | 522 | 387 | 8.58 | 11.64 | 0.06 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.33 |
| $\# 01$ | 886 | 657 | 1.00 | 359.40 | 0.83 | 0.68 | -0.07 | 1.18 | 1.20 | 1.49 |
| $\# 02$ | 313 | 248 | 4.30 | 266.10 | 0.63 | 1.12 | 0.22 | 0.57 | 1.29 | 1.05 |
| $\# 03$ | 645 | 478 | 1.00 | 303.46 | 0.72 | 0.81 | -0.01 | 1.12 | 1.44 | 1.41 |
| $\# 04$ | 394 | 313 | 31.54 | 415.77 | 0.38 | 1.53 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.69 | 1.40 |
| $\# 11$ | 639 | 506 | 9.57 | 17.43 | 0.07 | -0.34 | 0.14 | -0.00 | 0.15 | -0.23 |
| $\# 12$ | 639 | 506 | 9.71 | 21.63 | 0.15 | 0.13 | -0.11 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.63 |
| $\# 13$ | 639 | 506 | 1.00 | 45.43 | 0.76 | 2.16 | 0.44 | 1.20 | 1.41 | 2.13 |
| $\# 14$ | 639 | 506 | 7.39 | 277.62 | 0.65 | 1.72 | 0.31 | 0.79 | 1.49 | 1.22 |
| $\# 19$ | 121 | 96 | 1.00 | 5.51 | 0.32 | 1.65 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 1.72 |
| $\# 20$ | 729 | 577 | 2.34 | 7.79 | 0.13 | -0.07 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.27 | -0.95 |
| $\# 011$ | 886 | 701 | 0.00 | 2.56 | 0.22 | -1.18 | 0.17 | -0.18 | 0.56 | -1.22 |
| $\# 021$ | 313 | 248 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 0.11 | -1.73 | 0.34 | -0.15 | 0.37 | -1.77 |
| $\# 031$ | 645 | 478 | 0.00 | 2.48 | 0.23 | -0.99 | 0.10 | -0.16 | 0.61 | -0.88 |
| $\# 04 \mathrm{~L}$ | 394 | 313 | 1.75 | 4.55 | 0.16 | 0.26 | -0.08 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.54 |
| $\# 131$ | 639 | 506 | 0.00 | 1.66 | 0.70 | 0.29 | -0.13 | 0.19 | -0.50 | -0.04 |
| $\# 141$ | 639 | 506 | 0.87 | 2.44 | 0.22 | 0.10 | -0.10 | 0.04 | 0.37 | -0.38 |
| $\# 171$ | 76 | 62 | 18.00 | 24.78 | 0.08 | 0.58 | -0.07 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 1.73 |
| $\# 181$ | 76 | 62 | 2.13 | 4.11 | 0.16 | 0.69 | -0.07 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 1.39 |
| $\# 071$ | 275 | 218 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 1.06 | 0.10 | 0.33 | 0.84 | 0.88 |
| $\# 151$ | 257 | 204 | 2.25 | 6.09 | 0.12 | 0.97 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.40 |

Table 2. Comparisons between the prognostic high values for $1 \%$ of the studied population: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{CF})$, by the cumulative function or histogram (Georgiev, 20201) and $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{IG})$, by the linear fit of the largest leg of the intergram (this work). 1 - identification code of the example, 2 - estimation by linear cumulative function beside logarithmic ordinate or by quadratic histogram shape in log-log coordinates, 3 - estimation by linear or quadratic fit of the large leg of the intergram (see the examples below), $4-$ Note; ${ }^{*}$ ) - estimations by quadratic fit.

| Ident. | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{CF})$ | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{IG})$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $\# 01$ | 414 | 390 | Monthly Wolf number |
| $\# 02$ | 2240 | 2200 | Weekly visiting number |
| $\# 03$ | 346 | 325 | Six-monthly Wolf number |
| $\# 04$ | 328 | 330 | Flux in B light |
| $\# 11$ | $* 17.4$ | $* 17.2$ | Surf. brightness in B abs.mag |
| $\# 12$ | $* 22.2$ | $* 22.6$ | Luminosity in B abs.mag |
| $\# 13$ | 35 | 36 | Diameter, kpc |
| $\# 14$ | 243 | 242 | Rotat. velocity, km/s |
| $\# 13 \mathrm{~L}$ | $* 63$ | 40 | log-diameter, kpc |
| $\# 14 \mathrm{~L}$ | $* 347$ | 316 | log-rot. velocity, km/s |
| $\# 19$ | 6.4 | 6.1 | Body density, g/cm ${ }^{3}$ |
| $\# 17 \mathrm{~L}$ | $* 28.6$ | $* 25.1$ | Body log-mass, kg |
| $\# 18 \mathrm{~L}$ | $* 56000 * 17800$ | Body diameter, km |  |
| $\# 15 \mathrm{~L}$ | 631000 | 525000 | Number of habitants |



Fig. 1. Intergrams of the monthly Wolf number $N_{W}$ for the solar cycles 18-24, 1944-2018 (NASA), along linear (a) and logarithmic (b) ordinate. The relevant series of this Wolf number is shown in the main text, in Fig. $1 g$ (top). The lines show: (1) - intergrams as well as vertical markers of the mode, median an average value, (2) - histograms $h\left(N_{W}\right)$ and $\lg h\left(N_{W}\right),(3)$ - intergram skeleton, (4) - fits. The prognostic high value for $1 \%$ of the population $(1 \% \mathrm{PHV})$, is $N_{W}=300--390$. The diagrams $(c),(d)$ and ( $e$ ) represent the intergram fictions $\lg C(p), \lg W(p)$ and $\lg A(p)$ as well as their linear fits. Fig. $1 a b$ and $3 b$ show clearly the deficit of high Wolf numbers. (See Eq. 1 and Figs. $1-3$ in the main text).


Fig. 2. Weekly visiting numbers $N_{V}$ of the Smolyan Planetarium for 6 years, 2013-2018. The value $n_{V}=N_{V} / 10$ is used here. The series of the visiting numbers is shown in the main text, in Fig. $1 g$ (bottom). Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $N_{V}=1820--2200$ (See for details Fig. 1, here.)


Fig. 3. Wolf numbers $N_{W}$ averaged by 6 months for the years 1749-2018 for all cycles 1-24 (NASA). The series of this Wolf number is shown in the diagram ( $f$ ). Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ of the Wolf number is $268-325$, while in \#01, in Fig. 1, due to the higher resolution $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ of the Wolf number is $300-390$. The deficit of high Wolf numbers is higher. (See Fig. 1.)




Fig. 5. Mean surface brightness of disk (starforming) galaxies in $B$ abs.mag $/ \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$. The galaxies have morphological types Sab-Ir, inclination angle $30^{\circ}-85^{\circ}$ and distance up to 16 Mpc . (HyperLEDA.) The value $-B$ is used to ensure larger numbers for higher brightnesses. Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $B=-17.2 \mathrm{abs} . \mathrm{mag} / \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$.(See also the main text.)


Fig. 6. Total $B$ abs.mag of disk galaxies. The value $-B$ is used to ensure larger numbers for higher luminosities. Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $B=-22.6 \mathrm{abs} . \mathrm{mag}$. The largest leg of the intergram, as well as in $\# 11$, follows quadratic shape. It seems the most luminous galaxies are absent. (See Fig. 5.)

Diameters of 639 disk galaxies



Fig. 7. Diameters $D$ in kpc of 639 disk galaxies. Note the long linear shape of the largest leg of the intergram in (b). Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $D=36 \mathrm{kpc}$, but a few galaxies are larger. (See Fig. 5.)


Fig. 8. Amplitudes $V$ in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$ of the rotation velocities of 639 disk galaxies. Note the long linear top leg in $(b)$. Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $V=242 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ but a few galaxies rotate faster. (See Fig. 5.)


Fig. 9. Mean density $\rho$ in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ of solar bodies larger than 130 km and more dense than $0.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ (data by NASA). Note the broken top leg and the broken skeleton in (b). Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $\rho=6.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ while for the Earth $\rho=5.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. In this case the quadratic fit occurs concave and useless.


Fig. 10. Simulated random numbers with normal distribution. Classic algorithm, described by Forsyte et al. (1977) and translated by the authors from FORTRAN to C language, is applied. Note the complicated behaviour of the asymmetry in the simulated normal distribution and the negative tail.


Fig. 11. Logarithm of the monthly Wolf number, $\lg N_{W}$. (See Fig. 1.) Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $\lg N_{W} \approx 2.55$ or $N_{W} \approx 400$.



Fig. 12. Logarithm of the weekly visiting number of the Planetarium, $\lg N_{V}$. (See Fig. 2.) Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $\lg n_{v}=2.5$ or $N_{V}=3200$.


Fig. 13. Logarithm of the Wolf numbers, $\lg N_{W}$, of all observed solar cycles, averaged by 6 months. (See Fig. 3.) Here again $1 \%$ PHV is $N_{W} \approx 400$.


Fig. 14. B magnitudes of the LBV AF And. Mode, median and average magnitudes are 16.4 mag, 16.25 mag and 16.11 mag , respectively. The positive asymmetry and bimodallity of the distribution is due to the eruptions in 1970-1980. (See Fig. 4.) Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is 15.75 mag .


Fig. 15. Log-diameters $\lg D$ in kpc of 639 disk galaxies. Note the bad intergram due to the multimodal data distribution. The largest leg of the intergram follows quadratic shape with $1 \%$ PHV 40 kpc. (See Fig. 5.)


Fig. 16. Log-amplitudes of the rotation velocities $\lg V$ of 639 disk galaxies. Note the bad intergram due to the multimodal data distribution. The largest leg of the intergram follows quadratic shape with $1 \%$ PHV $316 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. (See Fig. 5.)


Fig. 17. Log-masses of bodies in the Solar System without the Sun and the giant planets. Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $\lg M=25.1-27$, while the Earth, Uranus and Jupiter have has 24.8, 26 and 27.3, respectively. In Paper $1 / 2$, Fig. 15, with participants of the giant planets, the prognosis is very high, 28.7-28.6.


Fig. 18. Log-diameters of bodies in the Solar System without the Sun and the giant planets. Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is $D=17800-56000 \mathrm{~km}$, while the diameters of the Earth and Uranus are 12756 and 51118 km . In Paper 1/2, Fig. 16, by the CPF and the histograms it is 100000 - 32000 km .


Fig. 19. Atmosphere extinction in B light over the Rozhen Observatory in the beginning of 21-th century (Dimitrov, 2007). The mode is 0.13 mag . The typical photometric error is 0.004 mag .


Fig. 20. Log-inhabitants in all 257 BG towns in the end of 2019. Here $1 \% \mathrm{PHV}$ is 525000 habitants, while in Paper 1/2 the result is $631000-251000$.
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