



Generating LSST synthetic photometry from XP spectra

Alex Razim, Krešimir Tisanić & Lovro Palaversa Ruđer Bošković Institute <u>lp@irb.hr</u> Stellar variability, stellar multiplicity: periodicity in time & motion Sofia, Bulgaria 8 Jun 2023

Gaia-LSST synergy

- Can't wait for the LSST DR1?
 - Prepare for the survey
 - Test Pipelines
 - Optimize cadence/survey strategy

- Generate all-sky bright-LSST survey to accompany the main survey
- provide a longer/extended baseline for the combined Gaia+LSST photometry (~15yrs)



Gaia XP spectra

104.26m

- 2 photometers
- 330-680 nm
- 640-1050 nm
- 2 fields of view
- 7 CCDs/photometer

- 2 windowing strategies
- 5 gate configurations
- time-dependent
- a total of >25k effective
- instruments

30 20 10 00

AL [samples]



- DR3: 220M (G<17.65 mag) mean spectra
- all sky coverage
- published data depend on quality...
- represented as a continuous function defined by an array of coeff. applied to a set of basis functions







gaiaxpy

• <u>gaiaxpy</u>:

- converter -> to sampled spectrum
- calibrator -> to absolute system
- generator -> synthetic photometry
- only for systems covered by XP range:
 - SDSS, DES, PanSTARRS, Hipparcos, HST, JWST, Skymapper ... LSST ...
- synth. phot. also available in the Gaia Archive
 - gaiadr3.synthetic_photometry_gspc





About the package

BP/RP spectra became available for the first time in Gaia Data Release 3 (DR3). In their first release, only source mean spectra are available: these are spectra that have been generated from a number of single observations of the same object. Epoch spectra, i.e. spectra consisting of one single observation, will become available in future release.

GalaXPy is a Python library to facilitate handling Gala BP/RP spectra as distributed from the Gala archive.



XP caveats

- underestimated errors in internally calibrated spectra, particularly affecting bright sources (G<11.5 mag)
- correlation between coefficients/samples: wavy patterns in sampled spectra
- crowding effects/blending
- magnitude terms
 - <400 nm
 - around 500-600 nm
 - >950 nm
- color term (λ <400nm), result of structured BP TC
- wiggles in externally calibrated spectra...
- ...due to the fact that the mission is ongoing and the data are not final



XP caveats

- underestimated errors in internally calibrated spectra, particularly affecting bright sources (G<11.5 mag)
- correlation between coefficients/samples: wavy patterns in sampled spectra
- crowding effects/blending/extended objects
- magnitude terms (λ~580nm)

 - o around 500-600 nm
 - >950 nm
- color term (λ <400nm), result of structured BP TC
- wiggles in externally calibrated spectra
- ...due to the fact that the mission is ongoing and the data are not final



Synthetic photometry

- gaiaxpy convolves XP spectra with throughputs
- residuals wrt. SDSS are corrected through addition of a background term and filter TC tweaking (or poly transformation in the UV bands)
- process known as standardization



XP spectra and LSST

- LSST ugrizy (& SDSS ugriz) bands not covered completely $(330 < \lambda < 1050 \text{ nm})$
- obviously, no LSST photometry (yet), standardization not an option if we want to reduce the residuals





How?

- SDSS S82 (<u>Thanjavur +21</u>) standards as the reference
 - \circ random errors ~< 0.01 mag
 - ~130k stars in total with XP spectra & passing quality cuts)
- gaiaxpy -> absolute spectra from the internally-calibrated continuously-represented mean spectra
- extend/replace XP spectra short/long of ~350 & 1020 nm with extincted model spectra
 - GSPphot/GSPspec as input
- we correct abs. XP spectra w. equidistantly placed gaussians



- extensions
 - \circ λ <400 nm
 - \circ λ >1020 nm
- same stars in each panel
- ~130k stars







- extensions
 - ο **λ<400 nm**
 - \circ λ >1020 nm
- same stars in each panel
- ~130k stars









ToDo list

- work with basis functions instead of absolute spectra
- use more appropriate stellar atm. models
- include the underestimation of the nominal errors on XP fluxes
- extend the sample to sources brighter than *r*~14.5
 - should work even if "mixing" surveys
- more validation!



Thanks and check out the interactive XP spectrum HRD!

gaiaxp.irb.hr







Towards LSST science, together!

Poreč, Croatia | September 25-29 2023

LSST@Europe5

LSST@Europe5: "Towards LSST Science, Together!" conference will be held in Poreč, Croatia, September 25–29, 2023. We look forward to welcoming you in historic and picturesque Poreč, a UNESCO heritage site. Please save the date!

This conference aims to build on the successful outcomes of the first four meetings in the UK, Serbia, France, and Italy, and to further collaboratively develop LSST science opportunities. We anticipate that the Rubin Construction and Operations teams will report on the observatory construction status, data products and updated timelines. We also plan to put the emphasis on in-kind contributions, and particularly on those originating in Europe.

The meeting will be conducted in a hybrid format to enable access for remote participants and the wider Rubin/LSST community. We are planning for up to 300 in-person participants, and anticipate funds for enhancing participation by junior researchers.

The organizers wish to express their gratitude to B612 Foundation and LSST Corporation for providing financial support for the meeting.

Registration is open. Abstract submission and requests for travel support will become available soon.

Correction of extended spectra

spectrum corrected by an unknown function

• corrected XP flux

$$F_b^* = \int_0^\infty \phi_b(\lambda) F_\nu^{G,*}(\lambda) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

1

• Taylor exp.:
$$F_{\nu}^{G,*}(\lambda, C_0(\lambda), C_1(\lambda)) = C_0(\lambda) + (1 + C_1(\lambda))F_{\nu}^G(\lambda)$$

multiplicative. correction

- Find functions C that minimize the difference between the SDSS & synth. mags
- we choose Gaussians:
 - \circ σ = 100 nm

- $\chi^2(C_0, C_1) = \sum_i \sum_{b \in \{u, g, r, i, z\}} \left(F_{b, i}^{SDSS} F_{b, i}^*(C_0, C_1) \right)^2$
- $\circ~~300 < \lambda ~[nm] < 1200$ every 50 nm